ROUND z-FILTERS AND ROUND SUBSETS OF βX

by M. MANDELKER

ABSTRACT

A characterization of remote points in $\beta \mathbf{R}$ is given by means of prime z-filters and is generalized to z-filters on arbitrary completely regular Hausdorff spaces X and to subsets of βX .

1. Introduction. An interesting topological feature of the Stone-Čech compactification $\beta \mathbf{R}$ of the real line is the existence of a *remote point* in $\beta \mathbf{R}$, i.e., a point not in the closure of any discrete subset of **R**. Other characterizations and properties of remote points are given in [1], [6], [7] and [8]. The existence of remote points in $\beta \mathbf{R}$ has been demonstrated, assuming the continuum hypothesis, in [1] and in [8]. The present paper was motivated by the following characterization of remote points.

THEOREM. A prime z-filter \mathcal{P} on **R** has the property that for every $Z \in \mathcal{P}$ there exists $W \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $W \subseteq \text{int } Z$ if and only if $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{M}^p$ for some remote point p in $\beta \mathbf{R}$.

The proof will be given in Section 2. For terminology and notation see [2]; we use \mathcal{M}^{p} and \mathcal{O}^{p} to denote the z-filters $Z[M^{p}]$ and $Z[O^{p}]$. We generalize the property of the theorem to an arbitrary completely regular Hausdorff space X as follows.

DEFINITION. A z-filter \mathscr{F} on X will be called round if for every $Z \in \mathscr{F}$ there is $W \in \mathscr{F}$ and a cozero-set S in X such that $W \subseteq S \subseteq Z$.

The term "round" is borrowed from [5]. It is used in [10] in connection with proximity spaces. In fact, round z-filters are just the intersections with Z(X) of the round filters on X with respect to the proximity induced by βX . In the case of the real line, every open set is a cozero-set, so our condition reduces to that of the theorem above.

Received August 1, 1968 and in revised form December 25, 1968.

MARK MANDELKER

The basic properties of round z-filters will be obtained in Section 3. Round subsets of βX are introduced in Section 4; they are related to an interesting class of round z-filters. In Section 5 we find that every G_{δ} in βX that does not meet X is a round subset of βX , and we relate this result to the family of continuous functions with compact support.

2. Round prime z-filters on the real line. In the proof of the theorem stated in the introduction we shall need some results from [6] which we restate in the first two lemmas below.

LEMMA 2.1. A prime z-filter on \mathbf{R} is minimal if and only if each of its members has nonempty interior. [6, Theorem 8.1].

LEMMA 2.2. A point p in $\beta \mathbf{R}$ is a remote point if and only if the z-ultrafilter \mathcal{M}^p is a minimal prime z-filter. (Part of [6, Theorem 11.2].)

Lemma 2.1 shows that a nonminimal prime z-filter on **R** has a nowhere dense member. We shall also need the following stronger result. We use the symbol \subset to denote proper inclusion.

LEMMA 2.3. If \mathscr{P} and \mathscr{Q} are prime z-filters on **R** with $\mathscr{P} \subset \mathscr{Q}$, then there exists $Z \in \mathscr{P}$ such that bdry $Z \in \mathscr{Q}$.

Proof. Since \mathscr{Q} is nonminimal, we may choose a nowhere dense $F \in \mathscr{Q}$. By enlarging F slightly if necessary (e.g., by adjoining the integers), we may assume that all the components of $\mathbf{R} - F$ are bounded. Let U be the union of all the left open thirds of these components, and V of the right. Since cl U contains the set of left endpoints, which is dense in F, we have $F \subseteq clU$, and similarly for V. Let Z and W be the complements of U and V in **R**. Since U and V are disjoint, we have $Z \cup W = \mathbf{R}$. Say $Z \in \mathscr{P}$. Since $F \subseteq cl(\mathbf{R} - Z)$, we have $F \cap Z \subseteq bdry Z$ and hence bdry $Z \in \mathscr{Q}$.

The proof also shows that on the real line every nowhere dense set is regularly nowhere dense in the sense of [3]. (The author wishes to thank Jack R. Porter for this remark and also for a simplification included in the above proof.)

THEOREM 2.4. A prime z-filter \mathcal{P} on \mathbf{R} is round if and only if $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{M}^p$ for some remote point p in $\beta \mathbf{R}$.

Proof. Let \mathscr{P} be round. Suppose $\mathscr{P} \subset \mathscr{Q}$ for some (prime) z-filter \mathscr{Q} . Choose $Z \in \mathscr{P}$ such that bdry $Z \in \mathscr{Q}$ and choose $W \in \mathscr{P}$ such that $W \subseteq \text{int } Z$. Then \mathscr{Q} contains

Conversely, let $\mathscr{P} = \mathscr{M}^p$ for a remote point p. Let $Z \in \mathscr{P}$. Since \mathscr{P} is minimal, bdry $Z \notin \mathscr{P}$. Thus, since \mathscr{P} is a z-ultrafilter, there is $F \in \mathscr{P}$ with $F \cap$ bdry $Z = \emptyset$. Hence $W = F \cap Z \subseteq$ int Z.

3. Round z-filters. In this section we develop the basic properties of round z-filters. An auxiliary result is the determination in Theorem 3.5 of the z-filters on X with a prescribed set of cluster points in βX .

DEFINITION. For any z-filter \mathscr{F} on X, we denote by \mathscr{F}^0 the family of all zerosets Z in X such that there is a member W of \mathscr{F} and a cozero-set S in X such that $W \subseteq S \subseteq Z$.

LEMMA 3.1. For any z-filter \mathcal{F} , the family \mathcal{F}^0 is a round z-filter; \mathcal{F} is round if and only if $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}^0$.

Proof. It is easy to verify that \mathscr{F}^0 is a z-filter. Let $Z \in \mathscr{F}^0$ and choose $W \in \mathscr{F}$ and a cozero-set S such that $W \subseteq S \subseteq Z$. Since W and X - S are disjoint zero-sets, they are completely separated. Hence by [2, 1.15(a)] there is a zero-set F and a cozero-set T such that $W \subseteq T \subseteq F \subseteq S \subseteq Z$. Hence $F \in \mathscr{F}^0$; this shows that \mathscr{F}^0 is round. The last statement is clear.

We adapt the notation of [2, 70] to z-filters as follows.

DEFINITION. For any z-filter \mathscr{F} on X, we denote by $\theta(\mathscr{F})$ the set of all cluster points of \mathscr{F} in βX . That is,

$$\theta(\mathscr{F}) = \bigcap_{Z \in \mathscr{F}} \mathrm{cl}_{\beta X} Z = \{ p \in \beta X \colon \mathscr{F} \subseteq \mathscr{M}^p \}.$$

Every nonempty closed subset A of βX is of the form $\theta(\mathcal{F})$; for example, we may take $\mathcal{F} = \{Z \in \mathbb{Z}(X) : A \subseteq cl_{\beta X}Z\} = \bigcap_{p \in A} \mathcal{M}^p$.

The following useful result arises in the proof of [2, 70.2].

LEMMA 3.2. If \mathscr{F} is any z-filter on X and Z is a zero-set in X such that $\operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} Z$ is a neighborhood of $\theta(\mathscr{F})$, then there exists $W \in \mathscr{F}$ such that $\operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} Z$ is a neighborhood of $\operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} W$.

Proof. The family of all sets of the form $\operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} W$, where $W \in \mathscr{F}$, is closed under finite intersection and has intersection $\theta(\mathscr{F})$. Since $\theta(\mathscr{F}) \cap (\beta X - \operatorname{int}_{\beta X} \operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} Z) = \emptyset$, by compactness there is $W \in \mathscr{F}$ such that $\operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} W \cap (\beta X - \operatorname{int}_{\beta X} \operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} Z) = \emptyset$.

THEOREM 3.3. If \mathcal{F} is any z-filter on X, the following are equivalent. (a) \mathcal{F} is a round z-filter. (b) For every $Z \in \mathscr{F}$ there is $W \in \mathscr{F}$ such that $cl_{\beta X}Z$ is a neighborhood of $cl_{\beta X}W$.

(c) For any $p \in \beta X$, $\mathscr{F} \subseteq \mathscr{M}^p$ implies $\mathscr{F} \subseteq \mathscr{O}^p$.

(d) For every $Z \in \mathscr{F}$, $cl_{\beta X} Z$ is a neighborhood of $\theta(\mathscr{F})$.

Proof. (a) is equivalent to (b) by [2, 7.14].

(b) implies (c). If $Z \in \mathscr{F}$ and W is chosen as in (b), then $W \in \mathscr{M}^p$; hence $p \in cl_{\beta X}W$, $cl_{\beta X}Z$ is a neighborhood of p, and $Z \in \mathcal{O}^p$.

(c) implies (d). If $p \in \theta(\mathcal{F})$, then $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{M}^p$; hence $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{O}^p$ and $cl_{\beta X} Z$ is a neighborhood of p.

(d) implies (b). Lemma 3.2.

We now determine which z-filters on X have a prescribed set of cluster points in βX . The lemma below extends [2, 7H.1]. The theorem generalizes [2, 7.13]; the necessity is [2, 70.2].

LEMMA 3.4. Any closed subset A of βX has a base of neighborhoods of the form $cl_{\beta X}Z$ with $Z \in \mathbb{Z}(X)$.

Proof. If U is any open neighborhood of A in βX , then A and $\beta X - U$ are completely separated. Hence there is a zero-set-neighborhood W of A in βX with $W \subseteq U$. Put $Z = W \cap X$. Then $Z \in \mathbb{Z}(X)$ and $cl_{\beta X}Z$ is clearly a neighborhood of A that is contained in U.

THEOREM 3.5. Let A be any closed subset of βX . For any z-filter \mathscr{F} on X, we have $\theta(\mathscr{F}) = A$ if and only if $\bigcap_{p \in A} \mathcal{O}^p \subseteq \mathscr{F} \subseteq \bigcap_{p \in A} \mathcal{M}^p$.

Proof. Let $\theta(\mathscr{F}) = A$. If $Z \in \bigcap_{p \in A} \mathcal{O}^p$ then $\operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} Z$ is a neighborhood of $\theta(\mathscr{F})$. Thus by Lemma 3.2 there is $W \in \mathscr{F}$ such that $\operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} Z$ contains $\operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} W$; hence $W \subseteq Z$ and so $Z \in \mathscr{F}$. Conversely, we clearly have $A \subseteq \theta(\mathscr{F})$. By Lemma 3.4, $\theta(\bigcap_{p \in A} \mathcal{O}^p) = A$ and hence $\theta(\mathscr{F}) \subseteq A$.

By means of the next two results we completely characterize round z-filters in terms of intersections of the z-filters \mathcal{O}^p .

THEOREM 3.6. For any z-filter \mathscr{F} on X, we have $\mathscr{F}^0 = \bigcap_{p \in \theta(\mathscr{F})} \mathscr{O}_p$.

Proof. $Z \in \mathscr{F}^0$ if and only if there is $W \in \mathscr{F}$ such that $W \subseteq S \subseteq Z$ for some cozero-set S in X; equivalently by [2, 7.14], such that $cl_{\beta X}Z$ is a neighborhood of $cl_{\beta X}W$. Also, $Z \in \bigcap_{p \in \theta(\mathscr{F})} \mathcal{O}^p$ if and only if $cl_{\beta X}Z$ is a neighborhood of $\theta(\mathscr{F})$; equivalently by Lemma 3.2, a neighborhood of $cl_{\beta X}W$ for some $W \in \mathscr{F}$.

z-FILTERS OF βX

THEOREM 3.7. If \mathscr{F} is a round z-filter on X, then $\mathscr{F} = \bigcap_{p \in \theta(\mathscr{F})} \mathcal{O}^p$. Conversely, if A is any nonempty closed subset of βX , then $\bigcap_{p \in A} \mathcal{O}^p$ is a round z-filter; these are distinct for distinct closed sets A.

Proof. The first statement follows from Lemma 3.1 and the last theorem. Now let A be a nonempty closed subset of βX and put $\mathscr{F} = \bigcap_{p \in A} \mathcal{O}^p$. By Theorem 3.5, $\theta(\mathscr{F}) = A$ and hence \mathscr{F} is round by Theorem 3.3(d). The last statement also follows from Theorem 3.5.

COROLLARY 3.8. $A \to \bigcap_{p \in A} \mathcal{O}^p$ is a one-one order-reversing correspondence between the nonempty closed subsets of βX and the round z-filters on X.

COROLLARY 3.9. A round z-filter is a z-filter that is minimal with respect to its set of cluster points.

If \mathscr{P} is a prime z-filter, then $\theta(\mathscr{P})$ has just one point; hence every round prime filter is \mathscr{O}^p for some $p \in \beta X$. Since on the real line \mathscr{O}^p is prime if and only if p is a remote point in $\beta \mathbf{R}$ [6, Theorem 11.2], this provides another proof of Theorem 2.4. Alternatively, Theorem 3.3(c) could be used. However, Lemma 2.3 and the direct proof given in Section 2 are of independent interest and do not require the stronger result from [6] that if \mathscr{O}^p is prime then p is a remote point. In fact, Section 2 and Theorem 3.7 provide another proof of this result.

4. Round subsets of βX . Lemma 2.2 shows that p is a remote point in $\beta \mathbf{R}$ if and only if $\mathcal{M}^p = \mathcal{O}^p$, i.e., for any $Z \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{R})$, if $cl_{\beta \mathbf{R}} Z$ contains p, then it is a neighborhood of p. In Section 2 we found the relation between remote points and round prime z-filters. We now generalize the above characterization of remote points, obtaining a class of subsets of βX which is related to a larger class of round z-filters.

DEFINITION. A subset A of βX will be called a *round subset of* βX if for any $Z \in \mathbb{Z}(X)$, if $cl_{\beta X} Z$ contains A, then it is a neighborhood of A.

We collect in the next theorem some immediate properties of round subsets.

THEOREM 4.1. Let $A \subseteq \beta X$.

- (a) A is a round subset of βX if and only if $\bigcap_{p \in A} \mathcal{M}^p = \bigcap_{p \in A} \mathcal{O}^p$.
- (b) If $cl_{\beta X}A$ is a round subset of βX , then A is also round.
- (c) Every open subset of βX is round.
- (d) Any union of round subsets of βX is also round.

THEOREM 4.2. For any nonempty closed subset A of βX , the following are equivalent.

- (a) A is a round subset of βX .
- (b) $\bigcap_{p \in A} \mathcal{M}^p$ is a round z-filter.
- (c) $\bigcap_{p \in A} \mathcal{M}^p = \bigcap_{p \in A} \mathcal{O}^p$.
- (d) There is a unique z-filter \mathcal{F} on X such that $\theta(\mathcal{F}) = A$.

Proof. (a) implies (b). We apply Theorem 3.3(d). Since A is closed, $\theta(\bigcap_{p \in A} \mathcal{M}^p) = A$. For every $Z \in \bigcap_{p \in A} \mathcal{M}^p$, $cl_{\beta X} Z$ contains A, and is hence a neighborhood of A. Thus $\bigcap_{p \in A} \mathcal{M}^p$ is a round z-filter.

- (b) implies (c). Since $\theta(\bigcap_{p \in A} \mathcal{M}^p) = A$, this follows from Theorem 3.7.
- (c) implies (a). Theorem 4.1(a).
- (c) and (d) are equivalent by Theorem 3.5.

EXAMPLE 4.3. Let X be connected and let Z be any proper zero-set in X with nonempty interior. Let A be the interior of $cl_{\beta X}Z$ and let B be the closure of A. Since A is open, it is a round subset of βX . Since βX is connected, A is not closed. Hence $cl_{\beta X}Z$ contains B but is not a neighborhood of B. Thus the closure of a round subset of βX need not be round; i.e., the converse of Theorem 4.1(b) is not true. We also have $\bigcap_{p \in A} \mathcal{O}^p \neq \bigcap_{p \in B} \mathcal{O}^p$, whereas the corresponding z-filters using \mathcal{M}^p are equal for any $A \subseteq \beta X$ and any X. Furthermore, $\bigcap_{p \in A} \mathcal{M}^p$ is not round, since it is equal to $\bigcap_{p \in B} \mathcal{M}^p$ which is not round by Theorem 4.2. Thus the hypothesis that A is closed in Theorem 4.2((a) implies (b)) may not be removed. Since A is round, we have $\bigcap_{p \in A} \mathcal{M}^p = \bigcap_{p \in A} \mathcal{O}^p$ and hence we see that $\bigcap_{p \in A} \mathcal{O}^p$ is not round. Thus the hypothesis that A is closed in the second part of Theorem 3.7 may not be removed, even if A is round. Also, the intersection of a family of round z-filters need not be round.

5. Functions with compact support. Theorem 8.19 of [2] (see also [9]) shows that when X is realcompact, the intersection of all the free maximal ideals in C(X) is the family $C_{K}(X)$ of all functions with compact support. This property of a realcompact space may be restated in terms of round subsets of βX . For any space X, [2, 7E] shows that $C_{K}(X) = \bigcap_{p \in \beta X - X} O^{p}$. Thus the following is immediate.

THEOREM 5.1. The intersection of all the free maximal ideals in C(X) is the family $C_K(X)$ of all functions with compact support if and only if $\beta X - X$ is a round subset of βX .

z-FILTERS OF βX

7

Thus [2, 8.19] says that if X is realcompact, then $\beta X - X$ is a round subset of βX . This will be generalized in Theorem 5.3 below. We first state a well-known lemma.

LEMMA 5.2. The following are equivalent.

(a) X is realcompact.

(b) $\beta X - X$ is a union of zero-sets in βX .

(c) $\beta X - X$ is a union of G_{δ} -sets in βX .

Proof. (a) implies (b). If $p \in \beta X - X$ then M^* contains a unit of C[2, 7.9(b)], i.e., there is $f \in C^*(X)$ such that $p \in \mathbb{Z}(f^\beta)$ and $\mathbb{Z}(f^\beta) \subseteq \beta X - X$.

(b) implies (c). Trivial.

(c) implies (a). The complement of a G_{δ} is an F_{σ} , hence (in the compact space βX) σ -compact and thus realcompact. Hence X is an intersection of realcompact subspaces of βX , and thus by Theorem 8.9 of [2] is itself realcompact.

THEOREM 5.3. For any space X, every G_{δ} in βX that does not meet X is a round subset of βX .

Proof. It follows from the lemma that if a subset of βX does not meet X and is a union of G_{δ} -sets, then it is a union of zero-sets. Thus, since the family of round subsets of βX is closed under arbitrary unions, it suffices to prove the theorem in the case of a zero-set W in βX that does not meet X. Let $W \subseteq cl_{\beta X} Z$ for some $Z \in \mathbb{Z}(X)$. Put $T = \beta X - W$, choose $f \in C(\beta X)$ so that $W = \mathbb{Z}(f)$, and put h = 1/f on T. Suppose W meets $cl_{\beta X}(X - Z)$. Then h, which is continuous on \mathbb{T} is unbounded on X - Z; thus X - Z contains a noncompact set S that is Cembedded and closed in T[2, 1.20]. Thus S is C-embedded in X and is thus completely separated in X from the zero-set Z [2, 1.18]; hence S and Z have disjoint closures in βX . Since S is closed in T but not compact we may choose $p \in cl_{\beta X}S - T$. Thus $p \in W$ but $p \notin cl_{\beta X}Z$, contradicting our assumption concerning Z. It follows that $W \cap cl_{\beta X}(X - Z) = \emptyset$. Thus $W \subseteq \beta X - cl_{\beta X}(X - Z) \subseteq cl_{\beta X}Z$ and $cl_{\beta X}Z$ is a neighborhood of W. Hence W is a round subset of βX .

COROLLARY 5.4. For any space X, $\beta X - vX$ is a round subset of βX .

Proof. Put Y = vX. Then Y is realcompact, so $\beta Y - Y$ is a union of G_{δ} -sets in $\beta Y = \beta X$. Since these G_{δ} -sets do not meet X, they are round subsets of βX , and hence their union $\beta X - vX$ is also a round subset of βX .

COROLLARY 5.5.[2, Theorem 8.19]. If X is realcompact, then $\beta X - X$ is a

round subset of βX ; i.e., the intersection of all the free maximal ideals in C(X) is the family $C_{K}(X)$ of all functions with compact support.

It is shown in [4, 3.9] that if X is a P-space, then the intersection of the free maximal ideals in C(X) is the family of functions with compact support. This result may be extended as follows.

THEOREM 5.6. X is a P-space if and only if every subset of βX is round.

Proof. X is a P-space if and only if $\mathcal{M}^p = \mathcal{O}^p$ for all $p \in \beta X$ [2, 7L.1]; the result thus follows from Theorem 4.1(a).

EXAMPLE 5.7. For any $p \in \beta \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}$, it is clear that $\{p\}$ is a round subset of $\beta \mathbf{R}$ if and only if p is a remote point in $\beta \mathbf{R}$. Under the continuum hypothesis, the set Γ of remote points in $\beta \mathbf{R}$ is dense in $\beta \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}$ ([1, 2.5] or [8, 5.4]). Hence every (round) open subset of $\beta \mathbf{R}$ that meets $\beta \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}$ contains a remote point, However, not every round subset of $\beta \mathbf{R}$ that meets $\beta \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}$ contains a remote point. For example, the set Δ of points in $\beta \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}$ that are not remote points in $\beta \mathbf{R}$ is also dense in $\beta \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}$ [1, 3.3]. By Corollary 5.5, $cl_{\beta \mathbf{R}} \Delta = \beta \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}$ is a round subset of $\beta \mathbf{R}$. Hence Δ is a round subset of $\beta \mathbf{R}$ but Δ contains no remote point.

REFERENCES

1. N. J. Fine and L. Gillman, Remote points in βR, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 13 (1962), 29-36.

2. L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1960.

3. M. Katětov, On the equivalence of certain types of extension of topological spaces, Časopis Pěst. Mat. Fys. 72 (1947), 101–106.

4. C. W. Kohls, Ideals in rings of continuous functions, Fund. Math. 45 (1958), 28-50.

5. H. J. Kowalsky, *Topologische Räume*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1961 (Topological spaces, Academic Press, New York, 1965).

6. M. Mandelker, Prime z-ideal structure of C(R), Fund. Math. 63 (1968), 145-166.

7. M. Mandelker, Prime ideal structure of rings of bounded continuous functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 19 (1968), 1432-1438.

8. D. Plank, On a class of subalgebras of C(X) with applications to $\beta X - X$, Fund. Math. (to appear).

9. S. M. Robinson, The intersection of the free maximal ideals in a complete space, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 17 (1966), 468-469.

10. W. J. Thron, Topological structures, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1966.

UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS LAWRENCE, KANSAS